

No. ID/RTK/29-83/31701.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sada Nand and the management of M/s Sonapat Iron and Steel Rolling Mills, Industrial Area, Sonapat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sada Nand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/33-83/31708.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sat Parkash and the management of M/s Raj Metals Delhi Road, Rewari, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i), section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Sat Prakash was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/80-83/31715.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kamla Kant Mishra and the management of M/S Carbo, Tools and Gauges 15/5 Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing,

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Kamla Kant Mishra was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/70-83/31722.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bala Prshad and the management of M/s Snowtemp Engineering Company Ltd., Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bala Pershad was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?